| Case 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF Docu | ument 36 Filed 10/12/17 Page 1 of 17 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | |
| Samuel A. Schwartz, Esq. | |
| Nevada Bar No. 10985 | |
| Bryan A. Lindsey, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10662 | |
| Schwartz Flansburg PLLC | |
| 6623 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 | |
| Telephone: (702) 385-5544 | |
| Facsimile: (702) 385-2741 | N 1 |
| Attorneys for the Chapter 7 Trustee, Victoria L. | Nelson |
| | DISTRICT COURT |
| DISTRICT | OF NEVADA |
| In re: |) Case No. 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF |
| AMERI-DREAM REALTY, LLC, |) Case No.: 15-10110-LED |
| Debtor. |)) Chapter 7 |
| |) |
| VICTORIA NELSON, In Her Capacity As Th |) e) |
| Chapter 7 Trustee Of AMERI-DREAM |) |
| REALTY, LLC, | |
| Plaintiff, |) Adv. Proceeding No.: 15-01183-LED |
| |) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| VS. | |
| XL AMERICA, INC.; XL INSURANCE |) |
| AMERICA, INC.; XL SELECT |) |
| PROFESSIONAL; XL SELECT |) |
| PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS; PEARL |) |
| INSURANCE GROUP, LLC; GREENWICH |) |
| INSURANCE COMPANY; and DOES I |) |
| through X; and ROE CORPORATE |) |
| DEFENDANTS XI through XX, |) |
| Defendants. |) |
| |) |
| | -) |
| | |
| | 1 |
| | 1 |

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Chapter 7 Trustee, Victoria L. Nelson (the "**Trustee**" or the "**Plaintiff**"), by and through her attorneys, Schwartz Flansburg PLLC, complains and alleges against the Defendants as follows:

JURISDICTION, PARTIES AND VENUE

1. Ameri-Dream Realty, LLC (the "**Company**") was a real estate sales and property management company based in Las Vegas, Nevada prior to filing for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

2. The Company is a resident of the State of Nevada and conducted significant business activities in the District of Nevada.

3. The Plaintiff is the Court-appointed Chapter 7 Trustee over the Company in Case No. 15-10110-LED, United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the "**Action**").

4. XL America, Inc., XL Insurance America, Inc., XL Select Professional, and XL Select Professional Claims (collectively, "**XL America**") are U.S. Based insurers offering insurance and reinsurance coverages and services.

5. Pearl Insurance Group, LLC ("**Pearl**") is an Illinois limited liability company which operates as an insurance broker, administrator, and marketer of custom insurance solutions in the United States.

6. Greenwich Insurance Company ("**Greenwich**") is a member of XL America and a domestic insurance company which offers a variety of insurance coverage to individuals and corporations throughout the United States.

7. XL America, Pearl and Greenwich are hereinafter at times each referred to as a "**Defendant**" and collectively as the "**Defendants**."

8. The names and capacities, whether individuals, corporations, associates or otherwise of Defendants named herein as DOE and ROE CORPORATION are unknown or not yet confirmed. Upon information and belief, said DOE and ROE CORPORATION Defendants are responsible for damages suffered by Plaintiff and, therefore, Plaintiff sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave to amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of each DOE and ROE CORPORATION Defendant at such time as the same has been ascertained.

9. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all claims in this case because they are asserted in connection with the Trustee's duties to recover assets on behalf of the estate, and because the allegations in this lawsuit share a common nexus of facts with those in the Action.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants and venue is proper in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada because: (i) the Defendants engaged in significant business in this District; and (ii) the Company is a debtor before this Court, and holds the claims asserted in this Complaint.

INSURANCE POLICY

11. The Defendants provided a Real Estate Professionals Errors and Omissions Policy, Policy No. PEG9145932-6 (the "**Policy**") for the policy period of June 14, 2013, through June 14, 2014, to the Company.

12. The Company is named as an insured and entitled to coverage under the Policy.

13. The Policy also provides that all claim notices under the Policy are to be sent to XL America via XL Select Professional Claims.

COMPANY BACKGROUND

14. The Company was managed by John M. Brown ("**Mr. Brown**") and his former spouse, Elsie Pelada-Brown ("**Ms. Peladas-Brown**").

15. As part of its business, the Company managed residential rental properties (the "**Business**"). In the normal course of its Business, the Company received and held rental security deposits on behalf of its customers' tenants. At the time of the wrongful actions asserted herein, the Company held in excess of \$1,200,000 of tenant security deposit money (the "**Security Deposits**").

16. Under Nevada Revised Statutes Section 645.310(1), security deposits for tenants are to be retained until the termination of the underlying lease or rental transaction.

THE UNAUTHORIZED TRANSFERS

17. In late March 2014, the Company discovered that significant funds were missing from the bank account designated to hold tenant security deposits. At the time of the discovery, the Company held security deposits for more than 1,000 tenants.

18. Mr. Brown subsequently discovered that significant funds had been transferred from a bank account designated to hold those tenant Security Deposits on behalf of the Company.

19. Unknown to Mr. Brown or the Company, Ms. Peladas-Brown orchestrated various unauthorized transactions, which transactions included the wire transfers of the majority of the Security Deposits to the Philippines.

20. Specifically, on the following dates, Ms. Peladas-Brown Brown transferred money from the Company's general account at JP Morgan Chase Bank (the "General

Case 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF Document 36 Filed 10/12/17 Page 5 of 17

| 1 | Account") and/or security deposit account at JP Morgan Chase Bank (the "Security Deposit | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Account") to Unibank, Inc. Metro Philippines (the "Philippines Bank"): | |
| 3 | a. On February 27, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$25,000 from the | |
| 4 5 | General Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | b. On May 14, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$50,000 from the | |
| 8 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 9 10 | c. On April 10, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$49,263 from the | |
| 11 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 12 | d. On April 17, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$24,600 from the | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 15 | e. On May 17, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$97,930 from the | |
| 16 17 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 18 | f. On May 24, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$49,000 from the | |
| 19 20 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 20 | g. On June 25, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$71,500 from the | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 24 | h. On July 18, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$35,000 from the | |
| 25 | security deposit account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 26 27 | i. On September 10, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$7,670 from the | |
| 28 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | j. On September 23, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$18,700 from | |
| 31 | the Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; | |
| 32 33 | | |
| 33 34 | | |
| | 5 | |
| | | |

Case 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF Document 36 Filed 10/12/17 Page 6 of 17

| 1 | k. On September 27, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$23,255 from |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | the Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; |
| 3 4 | 1. On October 9, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$10,020 from the |
| т 5 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; |
| 6 | m. On October 22, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$13,960 from the |
| 7 8 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; |
| 9 | n. On October 24, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$11,700 from the |
| 10 | |
| 11 12 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank; and |
| 13 | o. On December 20, 2013, Ms. Peladas-Brown transferred \$8,000 from the |
| 14 | Security Deposit Account to the Philippines Bank. |
| 15 16 | 21. Including, but not limited to, the specific transactions listed above, Ms. Peladas- |
| 17 | Brown embezzled a total of \$1,174,373.63 in Security Deposits from the Company. |
| ± / | |
| 18 | THE INNOCENCE OF THE COMPANY AND MR. BROWN |
| 18 19 | THE INNOCENCE OF THE COMPANY AND MR. BROWN22.Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas- |
| 18 | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas- |
| 18 19 20 21 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas- Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas- Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires Ms. Peladas-Brown to indemnify Mr. Brown |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas-Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires Ms. Peladas-Brown to indemnify Mr. Brown and the Company for her unilateral embezzlement of the Security Deposits. 23. At all times relevant herein, Ms. Peladas-Brown was a member, manager and the |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas-Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires Ms. Peladas-Brown to indemnify Mr. Brown and the Company for her unilateral embezzlement of the Security Deposits. 23. At all times relevant herein, Ms. Peladas-Brown was a member, manager and the property manager for the Company. Ms. Peladas-Brown was also a licensed real estate agent |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas-Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires Ms. Peladas-Brown to indemnify Mr. Brown and the Company for her unilateral embezzlement of the Security Deposits. 23. At all times relevant herein, Ms. Peladas-Brown was a member, manager and the property manager for the Company. Ms. Peladas-Brown was also a licensed real estate agent and property manager in the State of Nevada, and a member of the Greater Association of Las |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas-Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires Ms. Peladas-Brown to indemnify Mr. Brown and the Company for her unilateral embezzlement of the Security Deposits. 23. At all times relevant herein, Ms. Peladas-Brown was a member, manager and the property manager for the Company. Ms. Peladas-Brown was also a licensed real estate agent |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas-Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires Ms. Peladas-Brown to indemnify Mr. Brown and the Company for her unilateral embezzlement of the Security Deposits. 23. At all times relevant herein, Ms. Peladas-Brown was a member, manager and the property manager for the Company. Ms. Peladas-Brown was also a licensed real estate agent and property manager in the State of Nevada, and a member of the Greater Association of Las |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | 22. Neither the Company nor Mr. Brown had any knowledge of Ms. Peladas-Brown's scheme; and on May 4, 2015, Mr. Brown was divorced from Ms. Peladas-Brown. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires Ms. Peladas-Brown to indemnify Mr. Brown and the Company for her unilateral embezzlement of the Security Deposits. 23. At all times relevant herein, Ms. Peladas-Brown was a member, manager and the property manager for the Company. Ms. Peladas-Brown was also a licensed real estate agent and property manager in the State of Nevada, and a member of the Greater Association of Las |

THE PROSECUTION OF MS. PELADAS-BROWN

24. As a licensed realtor and property manager in the State of Nevada, Ms. Peladas-Brown is charged with the knowledge and responsibility of safeguarding the Security Deposits. It is undeniable in light of Ms. Peladas-Brown's licenses that she knew sending the Security Deposits to the Philippines would be a violation of the law, and would cause her to lose her real estate licenses, which licenses are now inactive. Ms. Peladas-Brown also knew she had a duty to manage the Security Deposits prudently and in a fashion that minimized risk.

25. In sum, Ms. Peladas-Brown had the knowledge and the motive to breach her fiduciary duties to the Company, its customers and its tenants, and in fact did breach such duties by failing to safeguard the Security Deposits and secretly transferring the Security Deposits to the Philippines. The transfers of the Security Deposits were made for no consideration at all, and Ms. Peladas-Brown understood the Security Deposits could not possibly be repaid.

26. On September 16, 2015, in Case No. RES 14-05-80-1060, the Nevada Real Estate Commission held a hearing regarding Ms. Peladas-Brown's actions, where her attorney, Mr. Lance Maningo, indicated Ms. Peladas-Brown's acquiescence to the factual allegations listed above, and admitted the funds were used to support Ms. Peladas-Brown's family and friends in the Philippines after catastrophic events.

27. On October 5, 2015, the Nevada Real Estate Division issued its written decision in Ms. Peladas-Brown's case, Case No. RES 14-05-80-1060, and ruled, among other things, that Ms. Peladas-Brown violated NRS 645.633(1)(i), pursuant to NAC 645.605(1), by failing to do her utmost to protect the public against fraud, misrepresentation or unethical practices to real estate.

28. The Security Deposits were disbursed by Ms. Peladas-Brown in the Philippines and are not recoverable. Ms. Peladas-Brown disbursed the Security Deposits to friends and family in need after the damage caused by catastrophic events in the Philippines.

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING AGAINST MS. PELADAS-BROWN

29. On May 21, 2015, the Trustee, in her capacity as Chapter 7 Trustee for the Company, initiated that certain adversary proceeding against Ms. Peladas-Brown in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada, Adversary Case No. 15-01087-LED.

30. In her complaint, the Trustee asserted four claims for relief against Ms. Peladas-Brown: (i) breach of fiduciary duty to the Company; (ii) common law misrepresentation to the Company; (iii) negligent misrepresentation to the Company; and (iv) declaratory relief that the Company and Mr. Brown are innocent and had no knowledge of Ms. Peladas-Brown's wrongdoings (collectively, the "**Peladas-Brown Claims for Relief**").

31. On October 26, 2015, the United States Bankruptcy Court (the "**Bankruptcy Court**") held a hearing on the Trustee's motion for summary judgment on all of the Peladas-Brown Claims for Relief.

32. On October 27, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order granting summary judgment on all Peladas-Brown Claims for Relief, with findings of fact and conclusions of law. <u>See</u> Adv. Case No. 15-01087-LED, Docket Nos. 20 and 21 (the "**BK Court Findings and Conclusions**").

33. The Judgment against Ms. Peladas-Brown is in the amount of \$1,174,373.63, together with prejudgment interest at the rate of 5.75%, compounded annually and compounded from February 1, 2013, and post-judgment interest at the rate established by 28 U.S.C. § 1961, compounded annually. <u>See</u> Adv. Case No. 15-01087-LED, Docket No. 21.

INSURANCE CLAIMS

34. On April 9, 2014, Ryan J. Works, Esq., as counsel for the Company and Mr. Brown, sent a Notice of Claim letter to XL America regarding the actions of Ms. Peladas-Brown.

35. Pursuant to the terms of the Policy, Greenwich agreed to pay for claims, up to \$1,000,000.00, resulting from an act or omission in the performance of real estate services for which the insured (the Company) is obligated to pay.

36. Although the Policy excludes coverage under Section IV(C) for claims "based on arising out of any dishonest, intentionally fraudulent, criminal or malicious act or omission by the Insured," under the facts described herein, the Company and Mr. Brown constitute "Innocent Insureds" under Section VI(D) of the Policy:

D. Innocent Insureds

If coverage of this policy would not apply because of Exclusion C. or because of noncompliance with Condition B., such Exclusion or Condition will not apply to any Insured who did not commit, participate in, or have knowledge of any of the acts described in Exclusion C. and whose conduct did not violate Condition B.

37. Ms. Peladas-Brown's breach of her fiduciary duties to the Company, tenants and others, and/or her unilateral wrongdoings triggered Greenwich's obligation to indemnify the Company for the loss of the Security Deposits.

38. Ms. Pelada-Brown's failure to safeguard the Security Deposits resulted in a breach of her fiduciary duties to the Company, its tenants and customers, thereby triggering coverage under the Policy.

39. On April 10, 2014, Mr. Works, as counsel for the Company and Mr. Brown, submitted a claim report form to the Defendants (the "**Claim**"), providing additional notice of a

Case 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF Document 36 Filed 10/12/17 Page 10 of 17

claim under the Policy and the obligations of the Defendants to reimburse the Company based on Ms. Peladas-Brown's actions.

40. On May 7, 2014, Mr. Works sent a follow-up letter to XL America in connection with the claim.

41. On May 14, 2014, Mr. Lee Santos, on behalf of XL America, e-mail Mr. Works asking for copies of any civil or regulatory claims that had been filed in connection with the claim.

42. On May 21, 2014, Mr. Works e-mailed Mr. Santos informing XL America that two cases had been opened: Case No. RES-14-05-80-1060, Brown Jr. v. Peladas-Brown; and Case No. RES 14-05-81-1061, Nevada Real Estate Division ("**NRED**") v. Brown. Mr. Works also asked if the Defendants would now make a determination as to whether the claims stated are claims that are covered under the Policy or if any other coverage is available.

43. On June 1, 2014, Mr. Works again e-mailed Mr. Santos noting that Mr. Santos had not received a response to the May 21, 2014, e-mail.

44. On June 3, 2014, Mr. Santos responded to Mr. Works and stated that he received the prior communication but that it appears "no civil or NRED claims being made by others at that point that can ben seen."

45. That same day, on June 3, 2014, Mr. Works responded to Mr. Santos and explained that the second action he previously referenced was in fact initiated by NRED against Ms. Paladas-Brown as the insured.

46. On June 11, 2014, Seth Floyd, an associate and Mr. Works' firm, McDonald Carano Wilson, followed up with XL America and Mr. Santos seeking confirmation that XL

Case 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF Document 36 Filed 10/12/17 Page 11 of 17

America has all of the information necessary to confirm that NRED had indeed initiated an action against the insured.

47. On June 12, 2014, Mr. Santos, on behalf of XL America, sent e-mail correspondence to Messrs. Works and Floyd acknowledging Mr. Floyd's June 11, 2014 e-mail, and noting that the NRED complaint is separate from any civil action and thus will be subject to a Disciplinary Proceedings limit.

48. On October 17, 2014, Kristen Gallagher, another lawyer for the Company, again reached out to XL America and Mr. Santos in relation to the claim.

49. On October 22, 2014, Mr. Santos e-mailed counsel for the Company and stated: "Please note that after our review of said matter we do not feel that there would be coverage for the claims as noted in said letter involving the two real estate agents that have independently contracted with the Insured and have given notice that they intend to terminate written agreement and seek the return of monies deposited with Insured."

50. On October 23, 2014, Ms. Gallagher replied and asked Mr. Santos, "On what basis do you believe there is not coverage?"

51. On October 23, 2014, Mr. Santos responded to Ms. Gallagher by simply stating,"There are exclusions on the policy that we believe are applicable."

52. On October 23, 2014, Ms. Gallagher responded and asked XL America and Mr. Santos to: "Please identify the provisions that you believe bar coverage."

53. On October 23, 2014, Mr. Santos advised Ms. Gallagher to: "Please see my communication to you from yesterday and comply should you seek further information."

54. On January 30, 2015, Mr. Works e-mailed XL America and Mr. Santos that the Company had filed for protection under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code and that several claims had been made against the Company.

55. On February 11, 2015, Mr. Works again e-mailed Mr. Santos indicated that he had not received a response from XL America regarding the Company's bankruptcy filing, and the Company's claims made to the Defendants under the Policy.

56. On February 12, 2015, Mr. Works e-mailed Mr. Santos to let him know that Ms. Kathy Huang, who was an agent for Ameri-Dream, was copied on the e-mail message and wanted to make a claim with XL.

57. On February 23, 2015, Mr. Works e-mailed Mr. Santos advising him that the Chapter 7 Trustee and her counsel would like to schedule a conference call with Mr. Santos to discuss the claim.

58. On February 23, 2015, Mr. Santos replied and stated that he has "some time to discuss this matter either tomorrow at 1 p.m. my time (east coast) or Thursday 11 a.m. my time. However, I will speak with you only at those times. We can conference with the other parties you mention at another date & time after you & I speak."

59. On March 30, 2015, Mr. Lee Santos, on behalf of XL America, sent the Company a letter denying coverage under the Policy.

60. Upon initiation of the Adversary Proceeding, on May 27, 2015, Defendants were once again provided Notice of the Claim, particularly that Defendants were ignorant and innocent of Ms. Peladas-Brown's unilateral wrongdoings.

61. Shortly thereafter, Defendants reiterated their denial of coverage under the Policy.

62. As of the date hereof, the Defendants have not paid any monies to the Company or Mr. Brown for claims made under the Policy.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violations of NRS 686A.310)

63. The Plaintiff hereby realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through62, as if fully set forth herein.

64. At all times relevant and material hereto, NRS 686A.310 was in full force and effect.

65. Despite initiating a claim under the Policy with XL America on April 9, 2014, the Defendants did not officially deny coverage under the Policy until March 30, 2015.

66. In the nearly one year period of time between the claim initiation on April 9,2014, and claim denial on March 30, 2015, both Greenwich and XL America violated NRS686A.310 in various ways, including but not limited to:

a. Failing to acknowledge and act reasonably promptly upon communications with respect to claims arising under the Policy;

b. Failing to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt
 investigation and processing of claims arising under the Policy;

c. Failing to effectuate prompt, fair and equitable settlements of claims in
 which liability of the insurer has become reasonably clear;

d. Compelling insureds to institute litigation to recover amounts due under
the Policy; and

e. Causing the insureds to spend significant amounts of time, energy and
 attorneys' fees and costs due to the Defendants' violations of NRS 686A.310.

67. As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants' breaches, the Company has been damaged, the exact amount to be proven at trial.

68. By their actions, the Defendants are guilty of oppression, fraud and/or malice, express or implied. Accordingly, the Company, in addition to compensatory damages, may recover punitive damages for the sake of example and by way of punishing the Defendants.

69. The Plaintiff has been required to obtain the services of counsel to prosecute this claim, and is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and other costs incurred in this matter as special damages, in addition to any other relief to which it may be entitled.

<u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Breach of Fiduciary Duty to the Company)

70. The Plaintiff hereby realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through69, as if fully set forth herein.

71. As a manager of the Company and a licensed real estate agent and property manager in the State of Nevada, Ms. Peladas-Brown owed fiduciary duties to the Company.

72. By reason of Ms. Peladas-Brown's fiduciary duties, Ms. Peladas-Brown owed the highest duties of good faith, fair dealing, loyalty and care to the Company.

73. Ms. Peladas-Brown breached her fiduciary duties to the Company by failing to safeguard the Security Deposits.

74. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada found that Ms. Peladas-Brown owed fiduciary duties to the Company and breached those duties.

75. The Nevada Real Estate Division also found that Ms. Peladas-Brown breached her fiduciary duties by failing to do her utmost to protect the public against fraud, misrepresentation or unethical practices to real estate.

Case 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF Document 36 Filed 10/12/17 Page 15 of 17

76. Ms. Peladas-Brown's breach of her fiduciary duties by failing to safeguard the Security Deposits proximately caused the damage to the Company because the Company received no consideration for the loss of Security Deposits.

77. Ms. Peladas-Brown is liable to the Company and legally obligated to pay the Company for the loss of the Security Deposits.

78. As an officer, director and manager of the Company, Ms. Peladas-Brown is an insured under the Policy.

79. As an insurer under the Policy, the Defendants are obligated to reimburse the Company for the loss of Security Deposits due to Ms. Peladas-Brown's breach of her fiduciary duties to the Company.

80. The Plaintiff has been required to obtain the services of counsel to prosecute this claim, and is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and other costs incurred in this matter as special damages, in addition to any other relief to which it may be entitled.

<u>THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Breach of Fiduciary Duty to Tenants and Customers)

81. The Plaintiff hereby realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through80, as if fully set forth herein.

82. As a licensed real estate agent and property manager in the State of Nevada, Ms. Peladas-Brown owed fiduciary duties to the various tenants and customers (the "**Tenants and Customers**") of the Company.

83. By reason of Ms. Peladas-Brown's fiduciary duties, Ms. Peladas-Brown owed the highest duties of good faith, fair dealing, loyalty and care to the Tenants and Customers.

84. Ms. Peladas-Brown breached her fiduciary duties to the Tenants and Customers by failing to safeguard the Security Deposits.

85. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada found that Ms. Peladas-Brown owed fiduciary duties to the Tenants and Customers and breached those duties.

86. The Nevada Real Estate Division also found that Ms. Peladas-Brown breached her fiduciary duties by failing to do her utmost to protect the public against fraud, misrepresentation or unethical practices to real estate.

87. Ms. Peladas-Brown's breach of her fiduciary duties by failing to safeguard the Security Deposits proximately caused damage to the Tenants and Customers because the Company received no consideration for the loss of Security Deposits.

88. Over 1,000 claims have been made by the Tenants and Customers in the Company's Chapter 7 bankruptcy case, all arising from the loss of the Security Deposits.

89. As an officer, director and manager of the Company, Ms. Peladas-Brown is an insured under the Policy.

90. As an insurer under the Policy, the Defendants are obligated to reimburse the Plaintiff, on behalf of the Tenants and Customers as Chapter 7 Trustee for the Company's bankruptcy estate, for the loss of Security Deposits due to Ms. Peladas-Brown's breach of her fiduciary duties to the Tenants and Customers.

91. The Plaintiff has been required to obtain the services of counsel to prosecute this claim, and is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and other costs incurred in this matter as special damages, in addition to any other relief to which it may be entitled.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

1. For general damages in excess of \$75,000.00;

2. For special damages determined at the time of trial;

3. For punitive damages;

Case 2:16-cv-00060-JAD-GWF Document 36 Filed 10/12/17 Page 17 of 17

| 1 | | 4. | For a judicial declaration as to the rights, obligations, and liabilities of the parties |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | to the Policy of insurance; | | |
| 3 | | _ | |
| 4 | | 5. | For an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the suit incurred herein; |
| 5 | and | | |
| 6 | | 6. | For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. |
| 7 | | 0. | For such other and further rener as the Court may deem just and proper. |
| 8 | | Dated | this 12th day of October, 2017. |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | SCHWARTZ FLANSBURG PLLC |
| 11 | | | /s/ Samuel A. Schwartz |
| 12 | | | Samuel A. Schwartz, Esq. |
| 13 | | | Nevada Bar No. 10985 Bryan A. Lindsey, Esq. |
| 14 | | | Nevada Bar No. 10662 |
| 15 | | | Schwartz Flansburg PLLC |
| 16 | | | 6623 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 |
| 17 | | | Telephone: (702) 385-5544 |
| 18 | | | Facsimile: (702) 385-2741 Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee, Victoria |
| 19 | | | L. Nelson |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 28 | | | |
| 20 29 | | | |
| 30 | | | |
| 31 | | | |
| 32 | | | |
| 33 | | | |
| 34 | | | |
| | | | 17 |
| | | | |